




Functional evaluation criteria for NDT Level III services at NOU					Enquiry No: KBG2687			
Item	Requirements	Deliverable	Criteria	Weighting	Rating	Score	(Supplier Name) Response	Eskom comments
1. COMPANY PROFILE	Well established name in the Nuclear business providing NDT Level III services	Evidence of past experience	Not Meet - No Nuclear In service inspection experience Partial Meet - Nuclear but no performance demonstration (PDI) experience Meet - Nuclear and PDI experience	40%				
	Relevant references of past experience	Contactable references of previous work experiences related to the scope of the service.	Not Meet - < 5 NDT Level III Interventions Partial Meet - 5 -10 NDT Level III Interventions Meet - > 15 NDT Level III Interventions	30%				
	Availability to give technical assessments and support during the inspection intervention	Demonstrate availability and capability of technical support	Not Meet - Within 2 days Partial Meet - Within 24 hrs Meet - > Immediate	30%				
	TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%				
2. EXPERIENCE OF KEY PERSONNEL	Appropriately skilled & qualified personnel at key operational levels	Qualification and certification of inspection personnel including previous experience of employees will be included in the returns.	Not Meet - Inadequately qualified personnel Partial Meet - Minimum qualification achieved Meet - Minimum qualification met and experienced personnel involved	90%				
	Language proficiency (English)	Site representatives to Eskom are fluent in speaking and writing the English language	Not Meet - Key personnel not able to communicate in English Partial Meet - Key personnel able to communicate in English Meet - Key personnel communicate well in English	10%				
	TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%				
3. TECHNICAL ADEQUACY TO PERFORM TASK	Nuclear NDT Level III experience internationally	evidence included in the tender returns	Not Meet - No details submitted Partial Meet - Some information submitted Meet - All requested details submitted	50%				
	Engineering/NDT back-up and support on indication dispositioning is available.	confirm engineering/NDT back-up support is available	Not Meet - No back-up support available Partial Meet - Back-up support available on request Meet - Back-up support available immediately and 24/7	50%				
	TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%				
4. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM (QAP)	Demonstrate that adequate measures are in place to ensure that suitable personnel are selected, specific training is scheduled and implemented and formal assessment of competence including qualification and authorisation is conducted and documented.	The returnable is the applicable process document or method statement and provide evidence of implementation.	Not Meet - No details submitted Partial Meet - Some information submitted Meet - All requested details submitted	100%				
	TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%				
Final Analysis								
1. COMPANY PROFILE				20%				
2. EXPERIENCE OF KEY PERSONNEL				25%				
3. TECHNICAL ADEQUACY TO PERFORM THE TASK				25%				
4. QUALITY				30%				
TOTAL				100%				
<p>The scoring of the Functional Evaluation Criteria is conducted as follows: A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows: 0 - 0% - Does not meet 1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap) 2 - 75% - Partial meet (Small gap) 3 - 100% -Meet</p> <p>The overall score for the Functional Evaluation Criteria is analysed as follows: 0% < 80% - Does not meet 80% - 100% - Meet</p>					<div>Compiled By I&T: A.ManieDate:2023-03-31Signature: </div> <div>Compiled by PQE: P.ZwaneDate: 2023-03-31Signature: </div> <div>Reviewed By I&T: K.MoahloliDate:2023-03-31Signature: </div>			